



COOPER CARRY

**BID REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RESPONSE**

PROJECT: VT Foundation  
900 N. Glebe Road, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Arlington, VA 22203  
Project No. 20170059

DISTRIBUTION: All bidders

FROM: Ashley Swann  
703-462-6633  
ashleyswann@coopercarry.com

DATE: 11/13/18

BID RFI #1: Verify that no cable trays are to be installed for LV wiring?

RESPONSE: Confirmed.

BID RFI #2: On walkthrough, it was mentioned that the floor slab is post tensioned. Please confirm and provide thickness. Please also provide slab height of 6<sup>th</sup> floor.

RESPONSE: Confirmed that slab is post tensioned. Slab height is 12'-0".

BID RFI #3: New door frames are specified as white 1-1/2" aluminum Raco Solutions II. We understand the intent is to match finishes of floors 3-5. The specified system will not match existing. Please confirm the spec from the drawings is accurate

RESPONSE: Drawings are correct. Previous storefront is no longer manufactured.

BID RFI #4: Glass door types B1 & B2 call for recessed floor closers. If this is a poste-tensioned slab floor closers may be infeasible. Additionally, 9-0 doors typically require rails (in lieu of patch fittings). Please advise.

RESPONSE: Confirmed floor closers to match floors 3-5. Rails if required are acceptable, patch fittings are preferred, and we will defer to GC's discretion.

BID RFI #5: Door hardware specifications are missing from A001. The only detail is to "match existing", referencing the buildout for floor 3-5. Please provide specs

- Jerome M. Cooper, FAIA
- Brent Amos, AIA
- E. Pope Bullock, AIA
- Brian Campa, AIA
- Kevin R. Cantley, AIA
- Angelo A. Carusi, AIA
- Richmond Cogburn, AIA
- Manny Dominguez, AIA
- C. Timothy Fish, AIA
- William R. Halter, AIA
- Mark D. Jensen, AIA
- Bill Johnson, AIA
- Robert A. Just, AIA
- Mark G. Kill, AIA
- David W. Kitchens, AIA
- M. Sean McLendon, AIA
- Gregory A. Miller, AIA
- Roger L. Miller, AIA
- Gar Muse, AIA
- C. Robert Neal, AIA
- Kim Rousseau, NCIDQ
- Andrea Schaub, AIA
- Keith A. Simmel, AIA
- Stephen M. Smith, AIA
- Robert F. Uhrin, AIA
- J. Ben Wauford, AIA
- Christopher Bivins, AIA
- Lesley H. Braxton, AIA
- Dorothy M. Colley, AIA
- Chris Culver, AIA
- R. Allen Dedels, AIA
- Lauren Perry Ford, AIA
- Richard W. Fredlund, AIA
- Bill Garcia, AIA
- L. Layton Golding, AIA
- W. Rick Kinkade, AIA
- Paul Matelic, AIA
- Brian M. Parker, AIA
- Kyle Reis, AICP
- Keith Schutz, AIA
- Michael G. Service, AIA
- Judy Simmons, PHR
- Andrea M. Smith
- Anita Summers, AIA
- Sophia Tarkhan, AIA
- David F. Thomson, AIA
- Gary E. Warner, ASLA
- Nathan Williamson, AIA
- Sherry M. Wilson

RESPONSE: Dorma is the manufacturer, however lever style & finish need to be verified by GC.

BID RFI #6: Please provide a specification for the new ceiling tile and grid.

RESPONSE: Armstrong 9/16" Suprafine XL grid with Armstrong Ultima 2x2 tile

BID RFI #7: No blocking is shown for header details 3/G005 & 2/G006. We typically see at least (1) layer of 3/4" ply here. Please advise.

RESPONSE: Provide blocking as necessary.

BID RFI #8: Keynotes 2/A110 & A/A110 reference Mechoshades & mini blinds respectively. The key notes are in Open office 611 and 643. No details are provided. Please advise.

RESPONSE: Per 2/A110 the spec noted here references FB4. Refer to finish schedule. Mini blinds are to match building standard, GC to verify. Provide cost for both options, cost of products will determine which is installed. Details to be standard manufacturer installation to be confirmed with Architect once product direction is selected.

BID RFI #9: Finish schedule A001. GL1 Clarus glassboards are typically owner provided. Please confirm.

RESPONSE: GC to provide and install. Do Not exclude from scope.

BID RFI #10: Finish schedule A001. SG1 notes "signage vendor" as the contact. Please confirm this is by owner. A142 would be excluded from GC scope in this scenario. Please confirm.

RESPONSE: GC to provide and install. Do Not exclude from scope.

BID RFI #11: Finish schedule A001. W6 notes "coordinate with signage vendor". Is this W6 finish by Owner or GC?

RESPONSE: GC to provide and install. Do Not exclude from scope.

BID RFI #12: Elevations 5/A200 references "photograph fin signage" Please confirm this is by owner.

RESPONSE: GC to provide and install – we are matching floor 4 graphics. Do Not exclude from scope.

BID RFI #13: There is no detail provided for rooftop unit CU-1 on M140. Please provide

RESPONSE (MEP): Reuse the existing support for the condensing unit on the roof that will be removed and replaced by CU-1.

BID RFI #14: HVAC BAS vendor was not included in the Building Rules & Regs. I seem to remember Pritchett Controls was in this building. Please confirm.

RESPONSE (MEP): Contact building engineer/property manager for details on controls.

BID RFI #15: There are (2) areas notes with “no access panels” per the enlarged RCP A170. However there are MEP components in these areas shown existing to remain. See below and advise.

RESPONSE (MEP): Access panels have been coordinated by the Architect. Refer to the latest Architectural Drawings. VAV 6-14 has been relocated to ACT to avoid access panel. Refer to latest M120 drawing attached.

RESPONSE (ARCH): No access panels are to be installed in the 9’6” ceiling per 1/A170. However, access panels are okay at specified locations. Reference General note 12/A120 – sizes and final locations need to be confirmed by GC and approved by Architect.

BID RFI #16: The light fixture schedule E110 is missing critical information. Please provide the complete specs for the following fixture types: A, A2, G, H, K, L1, L2 & L3.

RESPONSE (MEP): Refer to latest E110 and G002 drawings attached.

RESPONSE (ARCH): Specs are provided on G002. If there is a discrepancy confirm with architect. Refer to Light Fixture Schedule Note 1/E110.

BID RFI #17: Page A227 Detail 4, 5 & 6. The drawings call for reverse cut film with another color vinyl applied. Vinyl can get dicey when it’s applied to drywall especially if the glass doesn’t have a door to open it back up easily. We need a level 5 high gloss finish. Would a wall covering be acceptable for this?

RESPONSE: Custom wallcovering option is acceptable, product to be equal to Level Wallcovering or DesignTex.

BID RFI #18: Stone Bench @ Reception-Open Office 601/603: The custom brackets may be inadequate due to the lever arm stresses. At that point a more substantial rear leg would be required, and especially if that center section is supposed to be a bench seating area? We suggest using a wall mount bench support. Please see link below and advise:  
<http://rakks.com/wp-content/themes/Rakks/pdfs/dls/bench-installation.pdf>

RESPONSE: Using Rakks supports are acceptable, our preference is to keep most of the support inside the wall and not visible, however we are open to what the GC deems necessary. All visible supports are to be painted to match P1.

BID RFI #19: For the taller countertop areas, please advise if we may qualify the Inside Wall Mount EH Counter Support Bracket, per link below:  
<https://store.rakks.com/product/eh-flush-mount-support-bracket/>

RESPONSE: Using Rakks supports are acceptable, our preference is to keep most of the support inside the wall and not visible, however we are open to what the GC deems necessary. All visible supports are to be painted to match P1.

BID RFI #20: For the Bench Angled Rises, both sides will need to be finished. We assume with stone because it will be visible? Please confirm.

RESPONSE: Confirm, all visible surfaces to be finishes. Including island countertops.

BID RFI #21: Due to the height of the Rakks brackets, and the fact that you can see both surfaces of the “rises” on either side of the “bench”, we believe the countertop front edge may need to be increased to around 3.5” in height to conceal the bracket and to provide adequate inner structure of 2” + ¾” slab x two surfaces = 3.5”. Please advise.

RESPONSE: This solution is acceptable, we are open to what the GC deems necessary for the correct support and construction of this piece. All visible supports are to be painted to match P1.

**END OF FORM**